

MINUTES OF THE REGULAR COUNCIL MEETING

June 9, 2011

The Council meeting was called to order by Mayor John Schaaf at 7:05pm at the Community Meeting Room, 100 Village Center Drive, Suite 150, City of North Oaks, Minnesota. Present were Council members, Marty Long, Gregg Nelson, Tim Dunleavy, Bruce Ackerman and Mayor John Schaaf. Also present were, City Attorney David Magnuson, City Administrator Melinda Coleman, Building Official Greg Schmit, Recording Secretary Marcia Rich, and Videographer Kevin Scattum.

Pledge of Allegiance & Moment of Silence in honor of Veterans following Memorial Day

Approval of Agenda

The Mayor noted the added license applications & ending check # to the consent agenda; and under New Business numbering changes made to 3 agenda items, with resolution 1246 being moved from #4 to #2; previous #2 now being number 3A & 3B; and previous #3 moving to #4. City Administrator Coleman noted the addition of City Investment Committee review to Tim Dunleavy's council report, and 2012 Budget update to Administrator report.

Tim Dunleavy motioned to approve the agenda with these noted changes. Motion was seconded by Bruce Ackerman and passed unanimously.

Recognition

Mayor Schaaf introduced & welcomed Linda Hanson, recently hired as a part-time administrative assistant and noted on the consent agenda.

Consent Agenda

The Consent Agenda was read for the video record by Tim Dunleavy.

Marty Long motioned to approve the consent agenda, the motion was seconded by Bruce Ackerman and passed unanimously.

Petitions, Request & Communications

None

Unfinished Business

1. Discussion on development of Meeting Minutes Standards

Marty Long motioned that this item be taken off the table for discussion. Motion seconded by Gregg Nelson and passed unanimously.

Melinda Coleman addressed the information that was presented by Attorney David Magnuson at the May 10th meeting, indicating that written minutes are required by the state, with the minimum inclusion of motions made as stated. She reviewed the estimated staff costs involved in recording minutes. She noted that in working with other cities, the content of minutes was influenced by changes in council membership, the length of meetings and the complexity of business items. Increased detail can lead to reworking the wording of the minutes to better reflect a member's intentions for the record. Main points, motions and public hearing comments should be included. Staff is recommending a middle ground between minimum requirements and very detailed recording, but will institute the amount of detail the council requests.

Councilmember Ackerman noted that he initiated the topic, but has been pleased with the level of minute's content in the last 2 months. He noted that written minutes are more efficient and more

City Council Minutes – June 9, 2011

convenient for reviewing meetings than the full video record and would like to see them kept to the standard of recent minutes.

Councilmember Long clarified that the council needs to follow the basics in recording motions and public testimony, and further clarity could be obtained from the video record and city website. He would like to see more record than bullet points, but also not requiring increased hours of staff time.

Mayor Schaaf explained that policy set would be establishing a standard for Planning Commission, Natural Resource Committee meetings, as well as the City Council. He clarified council's agreement to continue with the detail of recent minutes and in line with the city administrator's recommendations.

NEW BUSINESS

1. CUP 11-07 64 West Pleasant Lake Road Exceed 35 foot Building Height

Building Official Greg Schmit presented the staff report and reviewed pertinent sections of the zoning ordinance for this new home construction which proposes the east & south elevations to be approximately 38.5 feet in height. He reported that the conditions for the permit have been met; the Planning Commission reviewed the application, conducted a public hearing, and recommends approval of the CUP with the two conditions noted.

Mr. Schmit answered council questions, noting the adequacy of the rear walkout for the grade of the property's slope and the erosion control conditions in the recommendation.

Douglas Olson, the applicant, currently of 5405 Carlson Road, Shoreview addressed the council and expressed anticipation in becoming part of the North Oaks community.

Bruce Ackerman motioned that Conditional Use Permit 11-07 for height to exceed thirty-five (35) feet be APPROVED with the following conditions:

1. Silt fencing is placed around the perimeter of the construction area. All site Best Management Practices for Erosion and Sediment Control are implemented.
2. Residential structure is constructed per plan sheets S-1, A-4 and A-5, dated April 11, 2011, prepared by SALA Architects.

Motion seconded by Marty Long and passed unanimously.

2. Resolution 1246 Ordering Improvement and Approving Plans and Specifications and Ordering Advertisement for Bids

Don Lutch of SEH (Short, Elliot, & Henderson) gave a PowerPoint overview of the proposed project which began in December, 2010. He reviewed the history of lake levels of Lake Gilfillan, and related the success of the Snail Lake project in Shoreview. He explained the proposed pump & treatment facility which includes necessary extensive screening of water to prevent transfer of invasive species as regulated by the DNR.

A St Paul Water Authority conduit will be tapped into under the 16th fairway of the North Oaks Golf Club, the water pumped to the new pump facility and be discharged through the screening equipment. Housing will be placed above the unit to protect it from the environment and to minimize noise. It is a proven design and facility and has received approvals from the Core of Engineers, the watershed district, and now the DNR.

Extensive meetings have occurred with the North Oaks Golf Club & Lake Gilfillan Water Association and design addresses their needs & requests.

City Council Minutes – June 9, 2011

Mayor Schaaf acknowledged the several principal entities of the proposed project that were represented at the meeting: Peter Van Ziel-North Oaks Golf Club; Mike Egelston- LGWA President & Resident; Nick Dragisich,- Springsted Inc; Don Lutch- SEH. Support has been expressed by Tony Bennett- Ramsey County, St Paul Regional Water Association. Mayor Schaaf noted the effects to Lake Gilfillan with the shutdown of the augmentation well in the late 1980's, and runoff changes due to development. He acknowledged the 2 year initiative of homeowners surrounding the lake to take care of the problem, in line with the vision of North Oak founders and which will improve the community. He commended the extensive persistence undertaken to obtain the approval of several government entities at the federal, state, county & municipal levels. He further noted that the project has been before NOHOA (11x), City Council (14x), VLAWMO (3x), North Oaks Golf Club (5x), DNR (3x), and Ramsey County (3x) times. 43 of the 45 elected officials represented have said yes to the project and 2 have abstained for other reasons.

The City Council was then invited to ask questions of Don Lutch.

Bruce Ackerman inquired about the anticipated noise levels of the pump, and discussion ensued regarding the housing requirements and decibel levels. Mr. Lutch encouraged the city to run baseline noise tests prior to installation of the pump unit, to determine what changes are actually caused by the pump versus current noise levels with the presence of Hwy 96 and other contributors.

Marty Long noted that being a member of city council, VLAWMO, and the NOGC, has allowed him to be at about 20 of the many project meetings. He clarified that VLAWMO has not currently given their approval to the project, but has chosen to take no action and to investigate further at Phase 2. He asked at what elevation level the pump would be turned off and expressed concern about impacts of increased rainfall. Mr. Lutch clarified that the pump would be run only April to the 1st of June, as SPRW will reserve capacity for their higher summer demands. The shutoff elevation is 910.55 feet which matches the recorded high water levels of the lake in 1988, 1937 & 1929. He noted that Lake Gilfillan has adequate outlets to minimize any impact of increased rainfall.

Mayor Schaaf clarified that the DNR permit only covers the filling of Lake Gilfillan, not the ponds. This project is for Gilfillan only, separate permitting and approvals would be needed for the ponds.

Gregg Nelson inquired about the capacity of this pump relative to the Snail Lake pump. Mr. Lutch explained that the Snail Lake pump was an existing pump that became inactive when DNR shut the project down and capacity was 1750gal/min, the fill rate for this project is at 1000gal/min.

PUBLIC HEARING

City Attorney David Magnuson covered the legal requirements of 2 Public Hearings for this project. This hearing is the Approval Hearing, which allows comment before the project is approved. After contracts are awarded and the project is finished there will be an Assessment Hearing where comment can address the amount of the assessment. Almost all affected residents petitioned for the improvement, but because it was not 100%, a hearing is required.

Mayor Schaaf covered the ground rules for public comment and opened the public Hearing at 8:13 pm. Mike Egelston, President of LGWA, and VP of NOHOA spoke first expressing gratitude to the City Council, the NOGC and the Lake Gilfillan homeowners for the many hours and support given to the project. He requested that the council please approve this project and let them move forward to save the lake.

City Council Minutes – June 9, 2011

Chris Mann, 10 Charles Lake Road, VLAWMO Technical Commission, wanted to clarify that VLAWMO's has not approved the project as they are not a permitting agency and she introduced an email addressing their comment to the DNR in April. She also stated that as a member of the NRC, they were concerned with the elevation level, but are more comfortable with the 910.55 stated in the presentation. She expressed the NRC's concern with the environmental impact downstream; especially on Black Lake which is a pristine lake with wild rice growing there. She further expressed concerns with wetlands being contaminated with Gilfillan impaired water. Speaking personally, she would like to have seen an Environmental Impact Study done with this large project.

Joachim Heberlein, 6 West Shore Road spoke in support of the project and noted that projected elevations are not higher than what lake levels have previously been. He stated that there has been no lowering of basement levels in the past 10-15 years and there were no basement problems when the lake was high. He expressed gratitude to all who have approved of the project.

Jack Shoevin, 19 Dove Lane stated that he is 100% in support of the project and appreciated the mayor's comments.

Manny Steil, 25 Robb Farm Road, states he has lived on Lake Gilfillan since 1967 and wants to sail on the lake with his grandchildren, as he did with his children. He commended all who have made a commitment and done the work for the good of the community and the homeowners.

George Rux, 5 Shadow Lane, stated that this action would benefit all of North Oaks, restoring Lake Gilfillan to the great lake it was, back to the vision of Louie Hill and fulfill dream of residents to sail & canoe again. He expressed thanks to all the Lake Gilfillan residents and the city council who have actively been involved to make this happen.

Tom Foley, 7 Duck Pass Road, stated that he is 100% in support of the project. He lives on Teal Pond which is the first body of water in line from Lake Gilfillan and commends the LGWA for stepping up to the plate. He stated their pond has been gone for 5-6 years and only 10-12 people live on the pond so it's hard to get the critical mass to do anything. We have been asking about getting water for the pond for about 10 yrs. He stated that this is the first hope they have had to get water back. He stated they could use 10 feet of water in their backyard and it wouldn't be anywhere close to their basement, that's how dry it is.

James Erickson, 38 East Oaks Road, which is on the northeast bay, he reported that he has been a resident since 1992 and used to have turtles, bird and ducks to look at. They had large snapping turtles that would come on the deck. He stated that for the last few years he only sees cotton wood trees in the bay and would much rather see the ducks, birds and other animals. He is 100% in favor and thinks it makes ecological sense to put water there instead of cottonwood trees.

Faith Ralston, 24 Duck Pass Road, stated she is a new resident and didn't have the benefit of many meetings, but was invited to a party and went to meet people that lived around the lake. She then found out the lake was dying & that there was a million dollar project, she signed her name and is very grateful.

Olivia Efteland, 17 Dove Lane, stated that she is very happy there is a project to bring their lake back to where it should be. Her grandkids love to canoe in the lake but it isn't easy to do that anymore. She approves of what they are trying to do.

City Council Minutes – June 9, 2011

Chris Mann, 10 Charles Lake Road spoke a second time and wondered if there were any plans to work on the water quality in Lake Gilfillan because it is impaired for nutrients at high levels and it would be nice if this was part of the plan, since you are pumping in impaired water also. Mostly the phosphorus readings are very high, it should be below 60 and they have been high for Gilfillan and Wilkinson. VLAWMO is doing a total maximum daily load study that includes those two lakes, and it would be good to address the water quality issue if you really want a healthy lake.

Manny Steil, 25 Robb Farm Road spoke a second time stating that all the residents around the lake are interested in the quality of water, but the first issue is the quantity of water. He said he doesn't know what the nutrients are in the extra acre & half that he has behind his house that used to be filled with water, but believes first & foremost we need to support this program. Everyone seems willing to do so, and the filtration system will put good water in this lake, we need to focus on quantity and quality but without quantity there is no quality.

No further residents spoke and the public hearing was closed by Mayor Schaaf at 8:31pm

Council Discussion:

Mayor Schaaf indicated that the water would be moved in from Pleasant Lake. In July SPRWA is removing the oxygenation system there which hasn't functioned in 3-4 yrs and next year will be putting in a new large capacity system that will be aerating with pure oxygen at the bottom of the lake. SPWA has made presentations to the council and to NOHOA regarding this, and detailed information is available in the city office.

Gregg Nelson inquired about why the Snail Lake Pump couldn't be used. Mr. Lutch indicated that it does not have the capacity to bring water to Rice Street and over the hill on Hwy 96. Mayor Schaaf further clarified that Shoreview has stated they are not interested in sharing the system, it is intended for one lake, and that the Lake Gilfillan pump will also only be for one lake.

Bruce Ackerman directed comments to Chris Mann stating that he hope concerns were addressed regarding the restriction of lake elevation and impaired water given the sophisticated screening system being proposed.

Gregg Nelson asked if in the event of excess rainfall, would water drain into surrounding ponds and back to Pleasant Lake. Mr. Lutch stated it is possible but probably difficult since the infrastructure tying them together has been inactive since the flow was shut down in the 1990's & in the absence of maintenance, the culverts may be collapsed or filled in with sediment. A thorough investigation would be needed to evaluate that. The original flow came back to Gilfillan, which was at the lower end of the chain.

Mayor Schaaf noted that in the history of hydrology studies, when Gilfillan lake levels were higher the pond levels were also increased. One of the requirements of the DNR permitting is continuous reporting. The screening mechanism is in place to screen zebra mussels out but they can come in on wildlife and watercraft.

Attorney Magnuson explained that adopting the Resolution before council, would order the improvement and approve the plans and specifications and direct the advertisement for bids.

Bruce Ackerman then motioned to adopt Resolution 1246, seconded by Marty Long. The Resolution was read in entirety by Bruce Ackerman.

Further Council Discussion:

City Council Minutes – June 9, 2011

Marty Long noted that the following concerns of his have been satisfied:

- that the cost is being borne by LGWA residents,
- that neighbors near the pump location are satisfied
- rumors about White Bear Lake benefiting are ungrounded
- North Oaks Golf Course consensus is that it is the right thing to do
- SPRWA is in the business of selling water

Tim Dunleavy indicated that he is also VP of the NOGC Board and has been at multiple meetings where his questions have been answered. He has talked to SPRWA, hydrologists, North Oaks Company, Shoreview City Attorneys. The biggest hurdle was agreement with the NOGC as project is on their land. He believes a good process has occurred in getting to this point.

Bruce Ackerman clarified that adopting the resolution did not preclude making changes to the specific agreements on the agenda.

Gregg Nelson commented that the project is clearly a good idea and stated that he is impressed with the involvement and commitment of the residents. The project would not have occurred apart from them.

Mayor Schaaf made two points:

1. He clarified that the majority of the cost will be borne by a special assessment tax on Lake Gilfillan residents, but that NOHOA owns 2 of the lots and has approved to be part of the project. Since all residents are part of NOHOA and they will be participating on that level. NOHOA will also be looking at putting in canoe launch sites when the water level is higher, and the greater community will be able to enjoy the lake.
2. He recognized the Golf Course for generously allowing the city to put a building on their land and giving so much of their leaders personal time to make certain to get this done.

Vote on the motion was then taken and the motion passed unanimously.

3a: Agreement with the City of North Oaks and LGWA

Attorney Magnuson explained the purposes of the agreement are:

- 1- To have a cap on charges for any eventual downstream users of the system. The amounts in the agreement cannot be filled out until BIDS are accepted and a Contract is made.
- 2- To allow the LGWA to manage the system, establish charges for its use, and to collect the charges. Charges are limited to payment for the system and costs of maintaining the system, as the LGWA cannot operate the system at a profit and will need to operate it consistent with NOGC & SPRWA agreement restrictions.
- 3- To collect the charges for the LGWA if they are not collectible. LGWA would send a list of delinquent accounts each August after proper notice for collection, and then the city could adopt an assessment spreading those charges to collection with the real estate taxes.

He stated that the agreement is 90% complete, Council could wait for the dollar figures, or approve the agreement in principle and allow a committee of the Mayor, City Attorney, City Administrator, & Finance committee to finalize the agreement in the future.

Marty Long asked the attorney for clarification about the limits on the costs to operate the system being divided among the LGWA, and that the city's involvement assures a means of collection in cases of delinquent payments. Attorney Magnuson explained that after bids are looked at, they will know what charges were overbilled and those charges would then be reimbursed to LGWA.

City Council Minutes – June 9, 2011

Bruce Ackerman state he thinks it is a good agreement but suggested the following language changes:

- 1st pg, 3rd whereas, to be “bodies of water” rather than “Lake Gilfillan and other lakes”, to clarify the ponds in North Oaks. Mayor Schaaf suggested “bodies of water, exclusively in the geographical boundaries of North Oaks”.
- Pg 2, 2b & 2d: deleting “make every reasonable effort” and inserting “will honor” & “will comply”
- Paragraph 4, remove “LGWA” from the last sentence as it is one of the 2 parties.

Mike Egelston explained that not all is in their control, so they prefer to leave that language as is. Attorney Magnuson suggested inserting the phrase “subject to flood, disaster, war or acts of God”, this was acceptable to Mr. Ackerman and to Mr. Egelston.

Mayor Schaaf inquired of council regarding making a motion for preliminary approval subject to final verbiage and inclusion of amounts when available, in order to be able to open bids. Attorney Magnuson clarified that agreements would have to be finalized prior to awarding any contracts, final versions could be executed on the agenda first and then contracts awarded later in the agenda.

Gregg Nelson stated that he is happy to provisionally approve it, and Mr. Ackerman stated he feels it is a good agreement with the minor changes discussed.

Joan Brainard addressed the council with a question about the LGWA bylaws and if they are allowed to assess all property holders equally, if properties were not members of LGWA.

Mayor Schaaf clarified that there would be an assessment per parcel for the improvement project and then a separate assessment on an annual basis by the LGWA for the maintenance of the system & the actual water used. Attorney Magnuson explained to Ms. Brainard that this is in the nature of a utility which has to collect the fees in order to function. The involvement of the city provides the necessary assurance that the fees be collected. The assessment for the construction of the system will be assessed through real estate taxes, but the assessment of the maintenance will be billed quarterly by the association and the city would certify those assessments that the LGWA is not able to collect. Mayor Schaaf stated the incorporation is as a watershed association which under state statues gives it the capacity to charge. Mike Egelston stated he will get homeowners documents to the city attorney

Gregg Nelson made a motion to provisionally approve the agreement, for final approval by the committee of the Mayor, City Administrator, City Attorney and Council member Dunleavy on the Finance Committee.

Motion was seconded by Marty Long, Attorney Magnuson noted inclusion of the proposed changes. Gregg Nelson and Marty Long agreed to this inclusion and motion passed unanimously.

3b. Agreement with the City of North Oaks and North Oaks Golf Club

Peter VanZiel from the NOGC addressed the council, noting they are part of the North Oaks community and happy to be part of the project as a good neighbor in line with their good working relationship with the city and the residents. Their required provisions were to protect the Golf Club and the residents on Willow Road who are in close proximity to the project.

Attorney Magnuson introduced the agreement that has been signed by the NOGC. He explained the provision in paragraph C of the recital, “bodies of water within the City of North Oaks” which addresses fears that this project could extend to White Bear Lake. He reviewed the four easements: 1) for the pump filtration house, 2) for the water lines which are outside of the SPRWA easement, 3) for the temporary construction, using existing golf course paths and smaller construction vehicles, and 4) for

City Council Minutes – June 9, 2011

access to pump house across golf club property. He explained that #2, Control of the System, was initially to be by the LGWA, but the golf club insisted on backup assurances from the city as a long standing entity, in case the LGWA ever folded or became difficult to work with.

Attorney Magnuson answered Marty Long's question regarding the city's ability to insure our risks and clarified that the city would become the owner of the system should the LGWA fail.

Mayor Schaaf related the similar arrangement with the North Oaks Company and the existing utilities they own, should they fold, the city would become the owner of those utilities.

Attorney Magnuson reviewed the unique restrictions in the agreements which are due to the collaborative relationship between the city and the golf club. These address the drilling techniques, landscaping, building plans & materials, scheduling, and noise levels. He stated that the engineers note these restrictions are attainable.

Questions arose about provisions for NOGC possible use of the water for emergent situations or catastrophic event. Any use of the facility for an emergency would negate the need to comply with noise restrictions. Councilmembers Ackerman and Nelson noted that the wording does not clearly note that the NOGC use of water would be in an emergency situation only.

Mayor Schaaf explained that 6-8 weeks have been spent on the agreement with multiple attorney input and this element is a result of negotiations. Marty Long wondered if adding language would help all to agree. Attorney Magnuson stated his belief that the intention is clear. Mayor Schaaf addressed Gregg Nelson's question about how this provision was arrived at, stating that in negotiations this was addressed to be neighborly to the Golf Club, that we would assist in saving the golf course or a neighboring structure in case of a catastrophic event. Attorney Magnuson further noted that the city came to the golf course asking for a favor, and when asking for a favor, the approach needs to be one of accommodation.

Attorney Magnuson then reviewed the financial provisions which address any increase or decrease in value to the NOGC due to this project. He then reviewed the provisions allowing NOGC to be reimbursed for violations & attorney fees, or to turn off power to the system if violations or problems occur. He also reviewed the default provisions in the agreement.

Marty Long motioned to direct the Mayor to execute the agreement 3B. Gregg Nelson seconded the motion.

Further Discussion:

Gregg Nelson commented that he feels the language on Provision 4e (ii) is ambiguous and seems to imply that the NOGC has the right to use this supplementary water system to augment their irrigation when they decide it is appropriate, rather than when the city decides it is appropriate. He will vote to approve the contract anyway since it can't be renegotiated.

Attorney Magnuson stated he can ask the golf club if they could insert "when the golf club is allowed to use the system"

Council vote was taken and the motion passed unanimously.

4. Agreement with the City of North Oaks and the St Paul Water Authority

Mayor Schaaf noted the Water Authority voted on the agreement 2 days ago, but a couple of technical items need to be brought back to them and that all the other agreements will need to be added to it.

City Council Minutes – June 9, 2011

Attorney Magnuson explained that the agreement is necessary since the majority of the project is on the Water Board's easement. Some changes need to be made regarding the ownership of the project in case of termination as not the entire project is on their easement. The council could approve the agreement except for that provision or can table it and finalize it at the next meeting prior to awarding contracts.

Marty Long moved to table the agreement to the next council meeting. Motion seconded by Tim Dunleavy and passed unanimously.

5. Shoreland Ordinance Amendment

Administrator Coleman presented the proposed changes which would reduce the requirement for city review when working within the 1st 20 feet of shoreland. Current ordinance requires a Conditional Use Permit for work in this area and is problematic for residents due to the length of time for approval, the need for a public hearing and \$400 fee. She stated this is becoming a disincentive for residents to do restorative projects & improve the shoreland. Staff is seeking council consideration to remove the requirement and to require only a forestry permit which is a \$100 fee and does not require a public hearing. Current city staff does not have the technical expertise to make a judgment on these and has been relying on the city forester to evaluate them. The council has also voted to refund the CUP Fee on the last couple of shoreland projects. She noted that NOHOA provides another line of defense as they have an easement in the first 20 feet of shoreland in almost all cases, and may have other requirements. Staff requests that the Council accept the first reading of the amendment to the Shoreland Ordinance.

Bruce Ackerman noted that he was on the Planning Commission when the shoreland ordinance was adopted and he is not opposed to the changes, but questioned the exclusion of the additional criteria included on the original ordinance. Melinda Coleman explained the criteria are addressed, but not included in the amendment and could be specifically added to the next reading for clarification.

Marty Long noted that this may be something for the Natural Resources Committee to review. Administrator Coleman stated this could be done, but would delay the benefit to residents for summer work. She further stated that Molly Sheeden at the DNR was not concerned about the amendment as she said the city ordinance is more restrictive than their requirements.

Gregg Nelson moved to table consideration of the amendment to the next meeting, seconded by Bruce Ackerman. Motion passed unanimously.

6. Property Management Firms Licensing

Bruce Ackerman introduced the topic pointed out by the mayor, noting that Management Associations advise sub-homeowners associations other than NOHOA. He stated there have been questions about compliance with ordinances and he was asked to look into this, to evaluate the benefit of amending licensing requirements in our ordinances and to add some categories of work in our community. If council wished, they could require these management associations to be licensed, and to explore regulating rental properties in North Oaks.

He noted this is an introductory discussion, and that no language has been prepared. He pointed out the ordinance sections which could be changed, regarding licensing categories and penalty provisions.

Councilmember Dunleavy requested that research be done regarding the number of management companies and more information obtained on what the actual issue is.

Mayor Schaaf commented that the overall issue is that these firms are not obeying city ordinances.

City Council Minutes – June 9, 2011

Council Reports:

Council member Dunleavy:

- Finance Committee has worked on the LGWA project, and now 2012 Budget
- LJFD purchased a truck that will come in at end of the year.
- Met with front entrance board.
- Presented the concept of a City Investment Committee to work on long term investments.

Councilmember Ackerman:

Past 2 NOHOA meetings topics addressed: Software contract, Bylaws, ASC membership, Cleanup campaign, Board vacancy, Home of Good Shepherd future development, Safety & Security committee, Gatehouse & entrance beautification, West Rec plans, Meeting transparency, Size of Board, sub-associations,

Councilmember Long:

Natural Resource Committee is ready to assist the city with research & issues.
VLAWMO continues to research area lakes and will have data available at the end of the year.

Councilmember Nelson:

Noted there was a single application for building height at last 12 minute PC meeting.

Mayor Schaaf:

Home of Good Shepherd: City will be a driving force in its future development
Springsted – Will be working with bonding for the LGWA project.
Attended RCLLG mtg at the very impressive new Shoreview Public Works Building
RC Sheriff- purchased speeding cart & discussed expanding contract area for Animal Control
Expressed Thank you to NOHOA Board of Directors, for a great Community Fair

City Administrator Coleman:

1. DNR Permit report has been received and staff requests council to accept report.

Motion made to accept the report by Tim Dunleavy and seconded by Gregg Nelson and passed unanimously.

2. 2012 Budget – Finance committee has set up meetings to begin in July and will meet every 2 weeks and will have a work session with the council in August. On Sept 15 a preliminary levy is required, this is set at the highest level allowed, but worked down from there and then adopt the final levy in December.

City Attorney Magnuson:

1. Reported that the MN Supreme Court rendered a decision on the Sarpal case on May 11, 2011. The file is not transmitted to Ramsey County for 30 days, the judge has been sent a proposed order requiring the pool shed be relocated within 90 days and if it isn't, the city is allowed to go on the property and accomplish the removal and assess the property.

2. Swimming Pool Fence Compliance was to be made by July 1st. The Building Official has not been sent out for inspections, and to date, there have been no applications for fence permits.

City Council Minutes – June 9, 2011

Miscellaneous

Next Planning Commission to be June 30, 2011 at 7:00pm

Next City Council meeting to be July 14, 2011 at 7:00pm

Next Natural Resource Committee Meeting has been changed to the second Monday of each month, which will be on July 11, 2011 at 6:00pm

Adjournment

On motion made by Marty Long, seconded by Bruce Ackerman and carried unanimously, the meeting was adjourned at 10:41pm.

Attest:

Respectfully submitted,

John Schaaf, Mayor

Marcia Rich, Recording Secretary